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**Definitions**

- *ERC Advanced Grants* allow exceptional *established research leaders* of any nationality and any age to pursue *ground-breaking*, high-risk projects that open *new directions* in their respective research fields or other domains.

- The *ERC Advanced Grant* funding targets researchers *who have already established themselves* as independent research leaders in their own right.

- *Sole evaluation criterion*: scientific excellence of researcher and research proposal.

- *Funding*: up to €2.5 million per grant.

- *Duration*: up to 5 years.

- *Calls for proposals*: published once a year.
Concepts

- High Risk-High Gain philosophy.
- Brief and conceptual proposals (NB in contrast to what is required in other programmes).
- Team work is important.
Reviewing/Step 1*/Extended Synopsis

*Marks range from 1 (non-competitive) to 4 (outstanding)

Criterion 1 - Research Project: Ground-breaking nature, ambition and feasibility

1.1 - Ground-breaking nature and potential impact of the research project
- To what extent does the proposed research address important challenges?
- To what extent are the objectives ambitious and beyond the state-of-the-art?
- To what extent is the proposed research high risk/high gain?

1.2 - Scientific Approach
- To what extent is the outlined scientific approach feasible (based on Extended Synopsis)?

Criterion 2 - Principal Investigator Intellectual capacity and creativity
- To what extent has the PI demonstrated the ability to propose and conduct ground-breaking research?
- To what extent does the PI provide evidence of creative independent thinking?
- To what extent have the achievements of the PI typically gone beyond the state of the art?
- To what extent has the PI demonstrated sound leadership in the training and advancement of young scientists?
Reviewing/ Step 2*/ Full Proposal

*At Step 2 the complete version (i.e. Parts B1 and B2) of the retained proposals are assessed.

Research Project
- Ground-breaking nature and potential impact
- Scientific approach

Principal Investigator
- To what extent has the PI demonstrated the ability to propose and conduct ground-breaking research?
- To what extent does the PI provide evidence of creative independent thinking?
- To what extent have the achievements of the PI typically gone beyond the state of the art?
- To what extent has the PI demonstrated sound leadership in the training and advancement of young scientists?
- To what extent does the PI demonstrate the level of commitment to the project necessary for its execution and the willingness to devote a significant amount of time to the project (min 30% of the total working time on it and min 50% in an EU Member State or Associated Country) (based on the full Scientific Proposal)?
Our project

Tailoring Graphene to Withstand Large Deformations

ERC Event, 13 January 2016, NHRF, Athens
Expected Properties

- High Young’s modulus (1 TPa)
- High fracture strength and strain in tension (>100 GPa, >30%)
The force-displacement behaviour obtained from AFM nanoindentation was interpreted in terms of nonlinear elastic stress-strain response.¹

Derived axial stress-strain curves


Assumptions (Lee et al.):
- Zero bending stiffness (membrane)
- Presence of residual stress (in air)
- $h = 0.335$ nm
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Wrinkling of thin membranes

- The wrinkling of thin elastic sheets occurs over a range of length scales.

![Diagram](image)
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Sheet of 30.1 x 90.6 nm$^2$ (aspect ratio = 1:3). Non periodic cell.
104796 carbon atoms

Partially (34%) Clamped
Conventional tensile testing of graphene...is it possible?

130 GPa for a flake of 20 μm in width correspond to ~4 pN!
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Typical Raman Spectra

Raman shift (cm\(^{-1}\))

Raman Intensity (a.u.)

Graphite

Graphene

1500 2000 2500 3000

\(\lambda_{\text{exc}}=514.5 \text{ nm}\)

2D

\(I_{2D}=4\cdot I_G\)

Carbon fibre

Graphene

D?

FORTH/ICE-HT
Fabrication of suspended graphene monolayer

exfoliation  →  spin coating  →  detachment  →  new process

sandwich  →  detachment  →  place on support

---
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Fabrication-induced stress/strain gradient
Polyzos et al, Nanoscale (2015)
Strain distribution along the width of flake
Orthogonal buckling (wrinkling) in air due to uniaxial deformation
For a layer of atomic thickness in air, $\varepsilon_c \approx 10^{-9}$ (1 nanostrain)
\[ \varepsilon(x) = \frac{3t\delta}{2L^2} \left(1 - \frac{x}{L}\right) \]

\[ \varepsilon(\delta) = 4.47 \frac{\delta t}{L^2} \]

\( \delta \): deflection of the beam neutral axis

\( L \): span of the beam

\( t \): beam thickness

\( \delta \): deflection (manually applied)

\( t \): thickness of PMMA bar

\( L \): length of supporting span

**Specimens**

- **PMMA**
  - Simply supported

- **Graphene flake**

- **PMMA 495**
  - SU8
  - Fully embedded

**ERC Event, 13 January 2016, NHRF, Athens**
Tensile deformation of embedded flakes on PMMA beams

2G peak

Point 1: Slope: -56.6 cm⁻¹/%
Point 2: Slope: -61.5 cm⁻¹/%

Local defect?
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Critical strain for buckling vs flake dimensions for embedded 1LG
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Critical tensile strain for lateral buckling under uniaxial loading

- According to the compression data for all cases for which \( l > w \) and for efficient load transfer, lateral buckling will occur at a value of \(-0.6\%\). Hence the required axial strain for lateral buckling for EMBEDDED graphene is given by:

\[
\varepsilon_{\text{critical}} = \frac{0.006}{\nu} \sim 1.8\% \quad \text{(for a typical polymer)}
\]

- This is OK for engineering applications but quite disappointing for a material that is EXPECTED to stretch to 30\%.
Compression data on short flakes: effect of transfer length
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If the width is less than 4 μm then the shear field generated is not sufficient for a FULL stress/strain transfer.

THIS IS GOOD NEWS SINCE LATERAL BUCKLING IS “DELAYED” UPON TENSILE LOADING:

\[ \varepsilon_{\text{tensile}} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{lateral}}}{\nu} \quad (1) \]

\[ \varepsilon_{\text{graphene}} = \left( \frac{\text{measured RS}}{\text{maximum RS}} \right) \varepsilon_{\text{lateral}} \quad (2) \]

\[ (1),(2) \Rightarrow \varepsilon_{\text{tensile}} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{graphene}}}{\nu} \left( \frac{\text{maximum RS}}{\text{measured RS}} \right) \]

To reach \( \varepsilon_{\text{graphene}}^{\text{critical}} = 0.006 \Rightarrow \varepsilon_{\text{tensile}} \sim 3\% \)

In the case examined above the width of the ribbon was 4 μm. Further advantages are expected for widths of approx. 1 μm.
How to Tailor Graphene

- **IN AIR**: Nano-ribbons of less than 250 nm (half wavelength) in width.

- **EMBEDDED IN MATRICES**: Micro-ribbons of less than 4 μm in width
Points to take home
ERC Advanced Grants

- **The PI:** Solid career with achievements beyond (each time) the state-of-the-art. Leadership in training of young scientists must be demonstrated.

- **The Project:** Ambitious and ground-breaking (but feasible).

**Thanks for your attention!**